Reply of Government.—The Draft Police Regulation provides for this, and its disposal will be expedited.

Subject No. 66.
Introduction of a uniform system of weights and measures.

Summary of discussion.—Early orders are requested on the subject of the introduction of uniform weights and measures.

Reply of Government.—Under consideration.

Subject No. 67.
Amendment to Village Sanitation Regulation.

Summary of discussion.—To put a stop to nuisances committed near stand pipes, the words "Public Stand Pipes" may be inserted in Rules 2 and 5 of Section IV of the Rules under the Village Sanitation Regulation, 1898, and the penalties therein provided may be applied to such nuisances.

Reply of Government.—The present Rules are sufficient.

Subject No. 68.
Examination of accounts of Banks and Companies by District and Sub-Division Magistrates.

Summary of discussion.—Rules may be framed under the Indian Companies Act, empowering District and Sub-Division Magistrates to enter without notice the office of any Bank or Company registered under the said Act and to examine its accounts and balances.

Reply of Government.—The introduction of such a provision in the Rules seems hardly expedient.

Subject No. 69.
Bye-laws for Minor Municipalities.

Summary of discussion.—Bye-laws may be framed for minor municipalities.

Reply of Government.—The Village Sanitation Regulation and the rules passed thereunder apply to all Municipalities not governed by a separate Regulation and will suffice for the present till a separate Municipal Regulation is passed.

Subject No. 70.
Amendment of the Infant Marriage Prevention Regulation.

Summary of discussion.—In order to avoid the consequences of a breach of the Infant Marriage Prevention Regulation, some subjects of the Mysore State get their children married outside Mysore limits and then return to the country. The Regulation may be amended so as to bring such offenders within its provisions.

Reply of Government.—Noted.

Subject No. 71.
Recovery of property attached by a Civil Court and given over to the charge of ety.

Summary of discussion.—If a surety entrusted with property attached by a Civil Court fails to produce the same, there is no provision of law under which he can be proceeded against summarily; and the decree-holder is compelled to bring a fresh suit against him. It is therefore prayed that such surety be made amenable to the Criminal law, or the value of the property be recovered by seizure of the moveable property or the person of such surety.

Messrs. Mysore Venkataramaiya, Pleader and Cheelangi Huleppa, Chitaldrug taluk, said that some rule should be laid down for enforcing production of such property, similar to the rules in force for the execution of decrees, without being obliged to have recourse to a regular suit.

Reply of Government.—Referred to the Chief Court.